NI-92013

Separation of Variables in the Quantum Integrable Models Related to the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$

E. K. Sklyanin *
Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences,
Cambridge University, Cambridge, CB3 0EH, U.K.

December 8, 1992

arXiv:hep-th/9212076v1 11 Dec 1992

Abstract. There being no precise definition of the quantum integrability, the separability of variables can serve as its practical substitute. For any quantum integrable model generated by the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$ the canonical coordinates and the conjugated operators are constructed which satisfy the "quantum characteristic equation" (quantum counterpart of the spectral algebraic curve for the L operator). The coordinates constructed provide a local separation of variables. The conditions are enlisted which are necessary for the global separation of variables to take place.

1 Introduction

Despite the huge body of papers on "quantum integrable models" written in last decades, ironically enough, there seems still to be no satisfactory definition of quantum integrability [1]. In the classical case Liouville's definition of complete integrability allows immediately to integrate the equations of motion in quadratures. In the quantum case, on the contrary, the mere existence of commuting Hamiltonians provides no help in finding their common spectrum and eigenfunctions which is a natural analog of integrating equations of motion in the classical mechanics. The discrepancy seems to be due to the difficulty with the concept of independent integrals of motion in the quantum case [1].

There exists, however, another concept in the classical mechanics which is more or less equivalent to the integrability, namely, the separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Its advantage is that it has a direct quantum counterpart.

Suppose that a quantum mechanical system possesses a finite number D of commuting Hamiltonians H_j $(j = 1, \dots, D)$. Suppose also that one can introduce D

*On leave from Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St.Petersburg 191011, Russia.

pairs of canonically commuting operators x_j, p_j

$$[x_j, x_k] = [p_j, p_k] = 0 \qquad [p_j, x_k] = -i\delta_{jk}$$

such that:

1. The common spectrum of $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^D$ is simple, that is the whole Hilbert space of quantum-mechanical states is isomorphic to a space of functions on $\mathrm{spec}\{x_j\}_{j=1}^D$. The momenta p_j are then realized as the differentiations $p_j = -i\partial/\partial x_j$. The simplicity of $\mathrm{spec}\{x_j\}_{j=1}^D$ replaces here the classical concept of a Hamiltonian system having D degrees of freedom.

2. There exist such polynomials Φ_j of $D+2$ variables that

$$\Phi_j(p_j, x_j, H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_D) = 0 \qquad j = 1, \ldots, D \tag{1}$$

The noncommuting operators in (1) are assumed to be ordered precisely in the same way they are enlisted, that is $p_j x_j H_1 H_2 \ldots H_D$.

Now, let $\Psi(x_1, ..., x_D)$ be a common eigenfunction of the Hamiltonians H_j

$$H_j \Psi = h_j \Psi \tag{2}$$

Applying the operator identities (1) to Ψ and using (2) and the ordering of H's in (1) one obtains for Ψ the set of differential equations

$$\Phi_j(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, x_j, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_D)\Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_D) = 0 \qquad j = 1, \ldots, D \tag{3}$$

which obviously allows the separation of variables.

$$\Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_D) = \prod_{j=1}^{D} \psi_j(x_j) \tag{4}$$

The original multidimensional spectral problem is thus reduced to the set of one-dimensional multiparameter spectral problems

$$\Phi_j(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, x_j, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_D)\psi_j(x_j) = 0 \qquad j = 1, \ldots, D \tag{5}$$

The functions Φ_j being polynomials, the separated equations (5) are ordinary differential equations. More generally, one can consider Φ_j as symbols of pseudo-differential operators. If one allows, for instance, Φ_j to depend on p_j exponentially, then (5) become finite-difference equations.

The above argument has, however, only a heuristic value since it establishes only a local separation of variables and for the actual (global) s. o. v. some more conditions are to be satisfied (see Section 4).

It is tempting to adopt the separability of variables as a practical definition of the quantum integrability. To this end, it is necessary to show at least that the

models generally referred to as "quantum integrable" ones do allow the separation of variables in the above sense. First of all, it concerns the spin chains soluble via all variants of Bethe ansatz technique which have no apparent resemblance to the separation of variables. It is not until recently that an s. o. v. has been constructed for the models generated by the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}[sl(2)]$, see [2, 3] and the references therein.

The next natural step is to study the $\mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$ case. The relevant quantum integrable models include $SU(3)$-invariant spin chains [4, 5, 6] together with their degenerated case (Gaudin model) [7, 8, 9], three-wave system (Lee model) [6], $SU(2)$ vector Nonlinear Schrödinger equation [10, 11], all of them well studied via coordinate Bethe ansatz [4, 7, 10], algebraic BA [6, 8, 9, 11] and analytic BA [5] techniques.

The separation of variables for the classical integrable $SL(3)$ magnetic chain was constructed in [12], see also [13] for the classical $SL(N)$ case. The present paper is the first in the series devoted to the quantum $SL(3)$ case. It outlines a general scheme allowing to construct a separation of variables for the models in question. Intending to make the argument as general as possible we collect here only the results which are common for various representations of $\mathcal{Y}[sl(2)]$. An implementation and adjustment of the presented scheme for the diverse particular models is left for subsequent publications.

Using the experience acquired during the study of the quantum $SL(2)$ case and the classical $SL(3)$ case we construct the variables x_j as the operator zeroes of certain operator polynomial $B(u)$ having commuting coefficients. In the classical case the exponents of the conjugated momenta $X_j = e^{p_j}$ are known to be the eigenvalues of the L operator $T(u)$ taken at $u = x_j$ and, as such, satisfy the corresponding characteristic equation. We show that the corresponding quantum variables X_j also satisfy a sort of "quantum characteristic equation" which fits the form (1) and thus provides, in principle, a separation of variables. The actual separation of variables can be established, however, only if the representation of the algebra formed by $X_j x_j$ satisfies several additional conditions which we conjecture to be satisfied for any representation of $\mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$.

The conjectured separated equations are 3rd order finite-difference equations. We show that our results agree with those obtained by means of the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

2 Description of the algebra

Consider the associative algebra $\mathcal{T}$ generated by $9(M+1)$ generators $T^{\alpha}_{\beta,m}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \{1,2,3\}$, $m \in \{0,1,\dots,M\}$) and the quadratic relations which are most conveniently described in terms of the polynomials $T^{\alpha}_{\beta}(u) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} u^m T^{\alpha}_{\beta,m}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(u-v)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u)T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v) + \eta T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(v) \\ = (u-v)T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u) + \eta T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(v)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u) \qquad \forall u, v\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{\beta_1,\beta_2=1}^{3} R^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}(u-v)T^{\beta_1}_{\gamma_1}(u)T^{\beta_2}_{\gamma_2}(v) = \sum_{\beta_1,\beta_2=1}^{3} T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u)R^{\beta_1\beta_2}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(u-v)$$

where

$$R^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}(u) = u\delta^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}\delta^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2} + \eta\delta^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}\delta^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}$$

Introducing the 3×3 matrix

$$T(u) = \begin{pmatrix} T^1_1(u) & T^1_2(u) & T^1_3(u) \\ T^2_1(u) & T^2_2(u) & T^2_3(u) \\ T^3_1(u) & T^3_2(u) & T^3_3(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

the unit operator $\mathbb{1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and the permutation operator $\mathbb{P}_{12}$ in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$

$$\mathbb{1}x = x \qquad \mathbb{P}_{12}x \otimes y = y \otimes x \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3,$$

and the notation

$$\overset{1}{T} \equiv T \otimes \mathbb{1} \qquad \overset{2}{T} \equiv \mathbb{1} \otimes T$$

one can rewrite (6) in a compact form:

$$R(u-v)\overset{1}{T}(u)\overset{2}{T}(v) = \overset{2}{T}(v)\overset{1}{T}(u)R(u-v) \tag{7}$$

where

$$R(u) = u\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \eta\mathbb{P}_{12} \tag{8}$$

is the well-known $SL(3)$-invariant solution to the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(u)R_{13}(u+v)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u+v)R_{12}(u) \tag{9}$$

or, at length,

$$\sum_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3=1}^{3} R^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}(u)R^{\beta_1\alpha_3}_{\gamma_1\beta_3}(u+v)R^{\beta_2\beta_3}_{\gamma_2\gamma_3}(v) = \sum_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3=1}^{3} R^{\alpha_2\alpha_3}_{\beta_2\beta_3}(v)R^{\alpha_1\beta_3}_{\beta_1\gamma_3}(u+v)R^{\beta_1\beta_2}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(u)$$

It follows from the relations (6) that the leading coefficients $T^{\alpha}_{\beta,M}$ of the polynomials $T^{\alpha}_{\beta}(u)$ belong to the center of the algebra $\mathcal{T}$. We shall suppose that $Z \equiv T_M$ is a number matrix having distinct nonzero eigenvalues. Subsequently, some additional nondegeneracy conditions will be imposed on Z.

In what follows we shall consider $T^{\alpha}_{\beta,m}$ as linear operators belonging to a representation of $\mathcal{T}$in a linear space W. The representation theory for the algebra $\mathcal{T}$in case $Z^a_\beta = \delta^a_b$ is essentially equivalent to that of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$, cf. [14, 15, 16]. For our purposes it is more convenient to take a generic matrix Z rather then unit one, which is equivalent to taking $GL(3) \otimes \mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$ instead of $\mathcal{Y}[sl(3)]$.

We conclude this section with a synopsis of properties of quantum minors and determinants [5, 14, 17].

Let P_{12}^- and P_{123}^- be the antisymmetrizers in $\mathbb{C}^3\otimes\mathbb{C}^3$ and $\mathbb{C}^3\otimes\mathbb{C}^3\otimes\mathbb{C}^3$, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{12}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}-\mathbb{P}_{12}) \\ P_{123}^- &= \frac{1}{6}(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathbb{1}+\mathbb{P}_{12}\mathbb{P}_{23}+\mathbb{P}_{23}\mathbb{P}_{12}-\mathbb{P}_{12}-\mathbb{P}_{13}-\mathbb{P}_{23}) \end{aligned}$$

and tr_j stand for the trace over the j-th copy of $\mathbb{C}^3$ in $\mathbb{C}^3\otimes\mathbb{C}^3\otimes\mathbb{C}^3$.

The quantum determinant $d(u)$ of $T(u)$ is then defined as

$$d(u)=\text{q-det}T(u) \;=\; \mathrm{tr}_{123}P_{123}^-\overset{1}{T}(u)\overset{2}{T}(u+\eta)\overset{3}{T}(u+2\eta)$$

and generates, together with T_M, the center of the algebra $\mathcal{T}$. We shall assume that the coefficients of $d(u)$, like $T^{\alpha}_{\beta,M}$ are numbers.

The quantum minor $T^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}(u)$ is defined as the quantum determinant of the 2×2 submatrix formed by the rows $\alpha_1<\alpha_2$ and the columns $\beta_1<\beta_2$ of the matrix $T(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{[\alpha_1\alpha_2]}_{[\beta_1\beta_2]}(u) &= \text{q-det}\begin{pmatrix} T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u) & T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u) \\ T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u) & T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(u)\end{pmatrix} \\ &= T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(u)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u+\eta)-T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u)T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u+\eta) \\ &= T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u)T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(u+\eta)-T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u+\eta) \\ &= T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u+\eta)T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(u)-T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u+\eta)T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u) \\ &= T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(u+\eta)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u)-T^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u+\eta)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Consider the matrix $U(u)$ formed by the quantum minors

$$U(u)=\begin{pmatrix} T^{[23]}_{[23]}(u) & -T^{[23]}_{[13]}(u) & T^{[23]}_{[12]}(u) \\ -T^{[13]}_{[23]}(u) & T^{[13]}_{[13]}(u) & -T^{[13]}_{[12]}(u) \\ T^{[12]}_{[23]}(u) & -T^{[12]}_{[13]}(u) & T^{[12]}_{[12]}(u)\end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

An equivalent expression for $U(u)$ is given by

$$\overset{3}{U}(u)^t = 3\mathrm{tr}_{23}P_{123}^-\overset{1}{T}(u)\overset{2}{T}(u+\eta)$$

where t stands for the matrix transposition.

The matrix $U(u)$ allows to invert $T(u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(u) &= T(u)^tU(u+\eta)=U(u+\eta)T(u)^t \\ &= U(u)^tT(u+2\eta)=T(u+2\eta)U(u)^t \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

or, in expanded form,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u)\delta_{\alpha\gamma} &= \sum_\beta T^\beta_\alpha(u)U^\beta_\gamma(u+\eta)=\sum_\beta U^\alpha_\beta(u+\eta)T^\gamma_\beta(u) \\ &= \sum_\beta U^\beta_\alpha(u)T^\beta_\gamma(u+2\eta)=\sum_\beta T^\alpha_\beta(u+2\eta)U^\gamma_\beta(u) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The commutation relations for $T(u)$ and $U(u)$, like (7), can also be written in the R matrix form ($\hat{R}(u) = [R(u+\eta)^{-1}]^{t_2}$)

$$\hat{R}(u-v)\overset{1}{T}(u)\overset{2}{U}(v) = \overset{2}{U}(v)\overset{1}{T}(u)\hat{R}(u-v) \tag{14}$$

$$R(u-v)\overset{1}{U}(u)\overset{2}{U}(v) = \overset{2}{U}(v)\overset{1}{U}(u)R(u-v) \tag{15}$$

or, at length,

$$\begin{aligned}(u-v+\eta)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u)U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v) - \eta\delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\sum_\gamma T^{\gamma}_{\beta_1}(u)U^{\gamma}_{\beta_2}(v) \\ = (u-v+\eta)U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v)T^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u) - \eta\sum_\gamma U^{\alpha_2}_{\gamma}(v)T^{\alpha_1}_{\gamma}(u)\delta_{\beta_1\beta_2}\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(u-v)U^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u)U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v) + \eta U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(u)U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(v) \\ = (u-v)U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2}(v)U^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1}(u) + \eta U^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_1}(v)U^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_2}(u)\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The quantum minors of $U(u)$ are, in turn, expressed in terms of $T(u)$

$$U[^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}_{\beta_1\beta_2}](u) = s_\alpha s_\beta T^{\alpha_3}_{\beta_3}(u+\eta)d(u) \tag{18}$$

where the triplets $(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)$ and $(\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3)$ are permutations of (123) and s_α and s_β are the corresponding signatures.

The commuting Hamiltonians are given by the matrix traces of $T(u)$ and $U(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} t_1(u) &= \mathrm{tr}T(u) \equiv \textstyle\sum_\alpha T^\alpha_\alpha(u) \\ t_2(u) &= \mathrm{tr}U(u) = \mathrm{tr}_{12}P^-_{12}\overset{1}{T}(u)\overset{2}{T}(u+\eta)\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

which are operator-valued polynomials of degree M and $2M$, respectively.

$$[t_1(u), t_1(v)] = [t_1(u), t_2(v)] = [t_2(u), t_2(v)] = 0$$

Due to the assumption made that the leading coefficient of the polynomial $T(u)$ is a nondegenerate number matrix the total number of commuting Hamiltonians is $M + 2M = 3M$, cf. [12].

3 Construction of canonical variables

The commuting Hamiltonians being described, the next step is to construct the separated variables x_n. In the classical case [12] they are constructed as the zeroes of the polynomial $B(u) = T^2_3(u)U^3_1(u) - T^1_3(u)U^3_2(u)$ of degree $3M$. In the quantum case, let us define the quantum operator-valued polynomial $B_c(u)$ as

$$B_c(u) = T^2_3(u)U^3_1(u-c) - T^1_3(u)U^3_2(u-c) \tag{20}$$

The parameter c is the anticipated quantum correction which will be fixed in the next section. The results of the present section are valid for any value of c.

Proposition 1 *$B_c(u)$ is a commutative family of operators*

$$[B_c(u), B_c(v)] = 0 \tag{21}$$

The proof is given by a direct calculation. One takes the product $B_c(u)B_c(v)$ and, after substituting the expressions (20) for B_c and expanding the brackets, tries to bring the u-dependent terms through the v-dependent ones. To this end one notices that the nontrivial commutations occur only inside the pairs $(T_3^2,\, T_3^1)$ and $(U_1^3,\, U_2^3)$ and applies the relations

$$T_3^\alpha(u)T_3^\beta(v) = \frac{u-v}{u-v-\eta}T_3^\beta(v)T_3^\alpha(u) - \frac{\eta}{u-v-\eta}T_3^\alpha(v)T_3^\beta(u) \tag{22}$$

$$U_\alpha^3(u)U_\beta^3(v) = \frac{u-v}{u-v+\eta}U_\beta^3(v)U_\alpha^3(u) + \frac{\eta}{u-v+\eta}U_\alpha^3(v)U_\beta^3(u) \tag{23}$$

which follow from (6) and (17). Finally, after multiple cancellations, one arrives at $B_c(v)B_c(u)$.

Let us assume now that the matrix Z is such that the leading coefficient $\mathcal{B}$ of $B_c(u)$ is nonzero. It means that Z should lie outside of certain algebraic manifold of codimension 1 which must be true for a generic matrix Z. We can define now the coordinate operators x_n $(n = 1, \ldots, 3M)$ as the zeroes of the polynomial $B_c(u)$ of degree $3M$.

$$B(u) = \mathcal{B}\prod_{n=1}^{3M}(u - x_n) \qquad B(x_n) = 0, \qquad n = 1, \ldots, 3M \tag{24}$$

They commute

$$[x_m, x_n] = 0 \tag{25}$$

by virtue of (21). The detailed description of the construction and the discussion of the mathematical subtleties of handling the zeroes of operator-valued polynomials are given in [3] where the $SL(2)$ case is considered. Since in the $SL(3)$ case the argument is the same, we do not reproduce it here.

We shall assume that the common spectrum of x_n is simple and that the representation space W is realized as some space of functions on $\mathrm{spec}\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{3M}$. The precise description of the functional space depends on the particular representation of $\mathcal{T}$, see [2] for discussion of possibilities in $SL(2)$ case.

The next step is the construction of the variables canonically conjugated to x_n. Define the rational operator-valued function $A_c(u)$ as

$$A_c(u) = -T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1}U_2^3(u-c) = -U_2^3(u-c)T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1} \tag{26}$$

Note that the two expressions for $A_c(u)$ are equivalent since $T_3^2(u)$ and $U_2^3(v)$ commute according to (13). Moreover, since $T_3^2(u)$ and $U_2^3(u)$ are themselves commuting families, $A_c(u)$ is also a commuting family.

$$[A_c(u), A_c(v)] = 0 \tag{27}$$

Proposition 2 *$A_c(u)$ and $B_c(v)$ satisfy the following commutation relation*

$$(u-v)A_c(u)B_c(v) - (u-v-\eta)B_c(v)A_c(u) \\ = \eta B_c(u)A_c(v)T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1}T_3^2(u)^{-1}T_3^2(v-\eta)T_3^2(v) \tag{28}$$

Proof. Notice that the following identity holds

$$(u-v)U_2^3(u-c)B_c(v)T_3^2(u-\eta) - (u-v-\eta)T_3^2(u-\eta)B_c(v)U_2^3(u-c) \\ = \eta\widetilde{B}_c(u)T_3^2(v)U_2^3(v-c), \tag{29}$$

where

$$\widetilde{B}_c(u) \equiv T_3^2(u-\eta)U_1^3(u-c) - T_3^1(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-c), \tag{30}$$

which can be verified by a direct calculation in the same manner as in the previous proof. Using the simple relation

$$T_3^\alpha(u-\eta)T_3^\beta(u) = T_3^\beta(u-\eta)T_3^\alpha(u) \tag{31}$$

which follows from (22) one can verify also another identity

$$\widetilde{B}_c(u)T_3^2(u) = T_3^2(u-\eta)B_c(u) \tag{32}$$

Now, using (32) and the commutativity of $A_c(v)$ and $T_3^2(u)$, we transform the right hand side of (29) into

$$\eta T_3^2(u-\eta)B_c(u)T_3^2(u)^{-1}T_3^2(v)U_2^3(v-c) \\ = -\eta T_3^2(u-\eta)B_c(u)A_c(v)T_3^2(u)^{-1}T_3^2(v)T_3^2(v-\eta)$$

Finally, multiplying the resulting identity by $T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1}$ both from the left and from the right, we arrive at (28).

In the classical case [12] the exponents $X_n = e^{p_n}$ of the momenta p_n canonically conjugated to the coordinates x_n are given by the formula $X_n = A(x_n)$. In order to transfer the definition to the quantum case it is necessary to describe what is understood under the substitution of the operator values $u = x_n$ into $A_c(u)$. Following [3] we fix the operator ordering putting x's to the left. So, let for any rational operator-valued function $F(u)$ the symbol $[F(u)]_u = x_n$ be defined as the Cauchy integral

$$[F(u)]_{u=x_n} = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_\Gamma du(u-x_n)^{-1}F(u) \tag{33}$$

taken over a closed contour Γ encircling counterclockwise the spectrum of x_n and leaving outside the poles of $F(u)$. Such a contour exists if $\mathrm{spec}x_n \cap \mathrm{poles}\{F(u)\} = \emptyset$. For the polynomials $F(u) = \sum_p u^p F_p$ the definition $[F(u)]_u = x_n = \sum_p x_n^p F_p$ given in [3] is obviously recovered.

Define now X_n as

$$X_n = [A_c(u)]_{u=x_n} \tag{34}$$

The condition $\mathrm{spec}\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{3M} \cap \mathrm{poles}\{A_c(u)\} = \emptyset$ should be investigated for any concrete representation of the algebra $\mathcal{T}$, and here we assume it to be satisfied.

The identities (27) and (28) proven above entrain immediately the commutation relations

$$[X_m, X_n] = 0 \tag{35}$$

$$X_m x_n = (x_n - \eta\delta_{mn})X_m \tag{36}$$

The derivation of (35) and (36) is the same as in [3], so we do not reproduce it here.

4 Quantum characteristic equation

In the present paragraph we restrict the parameter c to the value $c = \eta$ so that now

$$B(u) = T_3^2(u)U_1^3(u-\eta) - T_3^1(u)U_2^3(u-\eta) \tag{37}$$

$$A(u) = -T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1}U_2^3(u-\eta) = -U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1} \tag{38}$$

Proposition 3 *The following equality ("quantum characteristic equation" for X_n) holds*

$$X_n^3 - X_n^2[t_1(u)]_{u=x_n} + X_n[t_2(u-\eta)]_{u=x_n} - d(x_n - 2\eta) = 0 \tag{39}$$

The identity (39) can be thought of as describing the "quantum algebraic spectral curve" for the matrix $T(u)$. It is an open question if the proposition 3 can be generalized for arbitrary value of c and thus if the variables X_n, x_n provide a separation of coordinates for some quantum integrable system.

The proof is based on the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)U_2^3(u-3\eta)\\
&\quad + U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)t_1(u-2\eta)\\
&\quad + U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)t_2(u-2\eta)\\
&\quad + T_3^2(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)d(u-2\eta)\\
&\quad = \hat{B}(u)C(u)
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where

$$\hat{B}(u) = T_3^2(u-3\eta)U_1^3(u-\eta) - T_3^1(u-3\eta)U_2^3(u-\eta) \tag{41}$$

$$C(u) = T_3^2(u-2\eta)U_2^1(u-2\eta) - T_1^2(u-2\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta) \tag{42}$$

Suppose for a moment that (40) is proven and consider another identity

$$\hat{B}(u)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-\eta)T_3^2(u) = T_3^2(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)B(u) \tag{43}$$

which is derived in the same manner as (32).

Now let us multiply the equality (40) from the left by $T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1}T_3^2(u-2\eta)^{-1}\times T_3^2(u-3\eta)^{-1}$, then use the identity (43) and the definition (38) of $A(u)$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} &A(u)A(u-\eta)A(u-2\eta) - A(u)A(u-\eta)t_1(u-2\eta) \\ &\quad +A(u)t_2(u-2\eta) - d(u-2\eta) \\ &\quad = -B(u)T_3^2(u-2\eta)^{-1}T_3^2(u-\eta)^{-1}T_3^2(u)^{-1}C(u) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

To obtain the desired characteristic equation (39) it suffices now to substitute $u=x_n$ into (44) from the left and apply the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *For any operator-valued polynomial $F(u)$*

$$[A(u)F(u-\eta)]_{u=x_n} = X_n[F(u)]_{u=x_n} \tag{45}$$

To conclude the proof it remains to prove the identity (40) and the lemma 1.

Expanding the right hand side of (40) and reordering commuting factors we get four terms

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}(u)C(u) \;=\; & U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^1(u-3\eta)T_1^2(u-2\eta) \\ & -U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^1(u-3\eta)T^2(u-2\eta)U_2^1(u-2\eta) \\ & -T_3^2(u-3\eta)U_1^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_1^2(u-\eta) \\ & +T_3^2(u-3\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)U_1^3(u-\eta)U_2^1(u-2\eta) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Making in the first term substitution

$$T_3^1(u-3\eta)T_1^2(u-2\eta) = U_2^3(u-3\eta) + T_3^2(u-3\eta)T_1^1(u-2\eta),$$

see (11) and (10), and replacing T_1^1 with $t_1 - T_2^2 - T_3^3$ we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned} &U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)U_2^3(u-3\eta) + U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)t_1(u-2\eta) \\ &\quad -U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)[T_2^2(u-2\eta) + T_3^3(u-2\eta)] \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, in the fourth term of (46) we make the substitution

$$U_1^3(u-\eta)U_2^1(u-2\eta) = U_2^3(u-\eta)U_1^1(u-2\eta) + T_3^2(u-\eta)d(u-2\eta),$$

see (18), and replace U_1^1 with $t_2 - U_2^2 - U_3^3$ obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} &T_3^2(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)d(u-2\eta) + U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)t_2(u-2\eta) \\ &-U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)[U_2^2(u-2\eta) + U_3^3(u-2\eta)] \end{aligned}$$

Notice that all the four terms of the left hand side of (40) are cancelled by the right hand side terms. Consider now the second term in (46) and apply the identity

$$T_3^1(u-3\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta) = T_3^2(u-3\eta)T_3^1(u-2\eta),$$

see (31), and then the identity

$$T_3^1(u-2\eta)U_2^1(u-2\eta) = -T_3^2(u-2\eta)U_2^2(u-2\eta) - U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_3^3(u-2\eta),$$

see (16). The result is

$$U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)U_2^2(u-2\eta)+U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)T_3^3(u-2\eta)$$

Analogously, the third term of (46) is transformed, with the use of the identities

$$U_1^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta) = U_2^3(u-\eta)U_1^3(u-2\eta)$$

and

$$U_1^3(u-2\eta)T_1^2(u-2\eta) = -U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_2^2(u-2\eta) - T_3^2(u-2\eta)U_3^3(u-2\eta),$$

into

$$U_2^3(u-\eta)U_2^3(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)T_2^2(u-2\eta)+U_2^3(u-\eta)T_3^2(u-2\eta)T_3^2(u-3\eta)U_3^3(u-2\eta)$$

Collecting all the terms obtained we observe their total cancellation, the identity (40) being thus proved.

To prove the lemma 1 consider the left hand side of (45) and apply the definition (33)

$$[A(u)F(u-\eta)]_{u=x_n} = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_\Gamma du(u-x_n)^{-1}A(u)F(u-\eta) = \dots$$

Representing $F(u-\eta)$ as another Cauchy integral over the contour Γ' encircling the point u one rewrites the previous expression as

$$\dots = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_\Gamma du\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\Gamma'} dv(u-x_n)^{-1}(v-u)^{-1}A(u)F(v-\eta) = \dots$$

Changing the order of integrals and using the definition (34) of X_n and the commutation relation (36) one obtains finally the right hand side of (45).

$$\begin{aligned}\dots &= \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\Gamma'} dv(v-x_n)^{-1}X_nF(v-\eta)\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\Gamma'} dvX_n(v-x_n-\eta)^{-1}F(v-\eta)\\ &= X_n[F(v)]_{v=x_n}\end{aligned}$$

The proposition 3 being thus proven, we can discuss its corollaries. The equation (39) obviously fits the form (1) since the operator ordering in (39) is the same as postulated for (1). Following the heuristic argument given in the Introduction one can expect that the quantum characteristic equation (39) yields the separation of variables for the $3M$ commuting Hamiltonians given by the coefficients of the polynomials $t_1(u)$ and $t_2(u)$. If X_n are realized as shift operators $X_n = \exp\{-\eta\partial/\partial x_n\}$

the resulting separated equations (5) become the third order finite difference equations

$$\psi_n(x_n-3\eta)-\tau_1(x_n-2\eta)\psi_n(x_n-2\eta)+\tau_2(x_n-2\eta)\psi_n(x_n-\eta)-d(x_n-2\eta)\psi(x_n)=0$$

where $\tau_{1,2}(u)$ are the eigenvalues of the operators $t_{1,2}(u)$.

However, one cannot always take X_n as pure shifts. Generally speaking, X_n should contain a cocycle factor $\Delta_n(x)$

$$X_n\Psi(\ldots,x_n,\ldots)=\Delta_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{3M})\Psi(\ldots,x_n-\eta,\ldots)$$

There is a liberty of canonical transformations $\Psi(x)\to\rho(x)\Psi(x)$ and, respectively,

$$\Delta_n(\ldots,x_n,\ldots)\to\frac{\rho(\ldots,x_n-\eta,\ldots)}{\rho(\ldots,x_n,\ldots)}\Delta_n(\ldots,x_n,\ldots) \tag{47}$$

where $\rho(x)$ is a nonzero function on $\mathrm{spec}\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{3M}$.

For the finite dimensional representations of $\mathcal{T}$ the cocycles $\Delta_n(x)$ certainly cannot be equivalent to the trivial ones $D_n(x)\equiv 1$ since they must have zeroes on the boundary of the finite set which cannot be removed by any canonical transformation (47).

For the separation of variables, however, it is enough that the factors $\Delta_n(x)$ can be made to depend only on x_n which results in the separated equations

$$[\Xi_n^3-\tau_1(x_n-2\eta)\Xi_n^2+\tau_2(x_n-2\eta)\Xi_n-d(x_n-2\eta)]\psi(x_n)=0 \tag{48}$$

where Ξ_n stands for the operator

$$\Xi_n\psi(x_n)=\Delta_n(x_n)\psi(x_n-\eta)$$

In the $SL(2)$ case the Theorem 3.4 of [3] establishes the property in question. The corresponding problem for the $SL(3)$ is being under study.

There is another problem which should be solved before one could establish the separation of variables in the $SL(3)$ case. If the common spectrum of the coordinates $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{3M}$ is a bounded (finite) set in $\mathbb{C}^{3M}$ and is not a cartesian product of one-dimensional sets $\mathrm{spec}x_n$ then it must have a special geometry for the separation of variables to take place. The simplest example is given by the Laplacian in a rectangular triangle with the zero boundary conditions. The eigenfunctions are not factorized (4) but, instead, are linear combinations of such products.

To sum up, the quantum characteristic equation itself provides only local separation of variables. In order to obtain a global s. o. v. one needs to study more deeply the spectrum of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{3M}$ and the representation of the algebra (25), (35), (36). Their properties depend essentially on the representation of the algebra $\mathcal{T}$ taken and we leave the problem for a subsequent study. It seems to be a plausible conjecture that s. o. v. takes place for any representation of $\mathcal{T}$.

5 Comparison with ABA

In the $SL(2)$ case the separated equations are known to be equivalent to Bethe equations defining the spectrum of Hamiltonians provided the corresponding representation of $\mathcal{T}$ has a heighest vector and thus allows application of the algebraic Bethe ansatz technique [3]. It is natural therefore to investigate an analogous correspondence for the $SL(3)$ case.

The Bethe ansatz for $sl(N)$ case was developed in the papers [4, 6, 10, 11]. We shall use the results of Kulish and Reshetikhin [5, 6] who considered the most general representations of $SL(N)$. As shown in [6] the eigenvalues $\tau_{1,2}(u)$ of $t_{1,2}(u)$ together with the quantum determinant $d(u)$ can be written down in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1(u) &= \Lambda_1(u) + \Lambda_2(u) + \Lambda_3(u) \\
\tau_2(u) &= \Lambda_1(u)\Lambda_2(u+\eta) + \Lambda_1(u)\Lambda_3(u+\eta) + \Lambda_2(u)\Lambda_3(u+\eta) \\
d(u) &= \Lambda_1(u)\Lambda_2(u+\eta)\Lambda_3(u+2\eta) = d_1(u)d_2(u+\eta)d_3(u+2\eta)
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where the number polynomials $d_{1,2,3}(u)$ are determined by the parameters of the representation of $\mathcal{T}$ in question.

The three "quantum eigenvalues" $\Lambda_{1,2,3}(u)$ of $T(u)$ can be expressed in terms of two polynomials $Q_{1,2}(u)$

$$\Lambda_1(u) = d_1(u)\frac{Q_1(u+\eta)}{Q_1(u)} \qquad \Lambda_2(u) = d_2(u)\frac{Q_1(u-\eta)}{Q_1(u)}\frac{Q_2(u+\eta)}{Q_2(u)}$$

$$\Lambda_3(u) = d_3(u)\frac{Q_2(u-\eta)}{Q_2(u)}$$

Eliminating $Q_2(u)$ or $Q_1(u)$ from (49) one obtains for the polynomials $Q_{1,2}(u)$ the third order finite-difference equations [5].

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_2(x-2\eta)d_3(x-\eta)Q_1(x-3\eta) - \tau_2(x-2\eta)Q_1(x-2\eta) \\
&\quad +\tau_1(x-\eta)d_1(x-2\eta)Q_1(x-\eta) - d_1(x-\eta)d_1(x-2\eta)Q_1(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_3(x-2\eta)d_3(x-\eta)Q_2(x-3\eta) - \tau_1(x-2\eta)d_3(x-\eta)Q_2(x-2\eta) \\
&\quad +\tau_2(x-2\eta)Q_2(x-\eta) - d_1(x-2\eta)d_2(x-\eta)Q_2(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Making the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(x) &= d_2(x)d_3(x+\eta)d_2(x-\eta)d_3(x)\varphi(x) \\
Q_2(x) &= d_3(x)\psi(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and using the shift/multiplication operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1\varphi(x) &= d_2(x-2\eta)d_3(x-\eta)\varphi(x-\eta) \\
\Theta_2\psi(x) &= d_3(x-\eta)\psi(x-\eta)
\end{aligned}$$

one can put (50), (51) into the form

$$[\Theta_1^3 - \tau_2(x-2\eta)\Theta_1^2 + \tau_1(x-\eta)d(x-2\eta)\Theta_1 - d(x-\eta)d(x-2\eta)]\varphi(x) = 0 \quad (52)$$

$$[\Theta_2^3 - \tau_1(x-2\eta)\Theta_2^2 + \tau_2(x-2\eta)\Theta_2 - d(x-2\eta)]\psi(x) = 0 \quad (53)$$

One notices immediately that the equation (53) coincides with the hypothetical separated equation (48) giving thus a support to the conjecture.

In [3] it was conjectured that the separated coordinates should be splitted into two subsets producing the two separated equations (52), (53) which seems now to be an overcomplication. The equation for (52) should be considered rather as the separation equation for the alternative set of coordinates obtained from the matrix $U(u)$ in the same way as x_n are obtained from $T(u)$.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to V. B. Kuznetsov and V. O. Tarasov for valuable and encourageing discussions. I thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences for hospitality.

References

[1] Weigert S.: The problem of quantum integrability. Physica D**56**, 107–119 (1992)

[2] Sklyanin, E.K.: Functional Bethe Ansatz. In: Integrable and Superintegrable Theories, Kupershmidt, B. (ed.), Singapore: World Scientific 1990, p.8–33

[3] Sklyanin, E.K.: Quantum Inverse Scattering Method. Selected Topics. In: Quantum Groups and Quantum Integrable Systems (Nankai Lectures in Mathematical Physics), Mo-Lin Ge (ed.), Singapore: World Scientific 1992, p.63–97; hep-th/9211111

[4] Sutherland, B.: Model for a multicomponent quantum system. Phys. Rev. **B12**, 3795–3805 (1975)

[5] Kulish, P.P., Reshetikhin, N.Yu: GL_3-invariant solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and associated quantum systems. Zapiski Nauch. Semin. LOMI **120**, 92–121 (1982); Engl. transl.: J. Soviet Math. **34**, 1948–1971 (1986)

[6] Kulish, P.P., Reshetikhin, N.Yu: Diagonalization of $GL(N)$ invariant transfer matrices and quantum N-wave system (Lee model). J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16**, L591–L596 (1983)

[7] Gaudin, M.: La fonction d'onde de Bethe. Paris: Masson 1983

[8] Jurčo, B.: Classical Yang-Baxter equations and quantum integrable systems. J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1289–1293 (1989)

[9] Jurčo, B.: On quantum integrable models related to nonlinear quantum optics. An algebraic Bethe ansatz approach. J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1739–1743 (1989)

[10] Yang C.N.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** 1312 (1967)

[11] Kulish P.P.: Multicomponent non-linear Schrödinger equation with grading. Doklady AN SSSR **255**:2, 323–326 (1980)

[12] Sklyanin, E.K.: Separation of variables in the Classical Integrable $SL(3)$ Magnetic Chain. RIMS-871, April 1992; hep-th/9211126 (to appear in Commun. Math. Phys.)

[13] Adams M.R., Harnad J., Hurtubise J.: Darboux coordinates and Liouville-Arnold integration in loop algebras. Univ. Montréal preprint CRM-1788; hep-th/9210089

[14] Kirillov A.N., Reshetikhin N.Yu.: The Yangians, Bethe ansatz and combinatorics. Lett. Math. Phys. **12**, 199–208 (1986)

[15] Cherednik I.V.: A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tzetlin base. Duke Math. J. **54**, 563–577 (1987)

[16] Chari V., Pressley A.: Yangians and R-matrices. L'Enseignement Mathématique. **36**267–302 (1990)

[17] Kulish P.P., Sklyanin E.K.: The quantum spectral transform method. Recent developments. In: Integrable quantum field theories. Eds. J. Hietarinta, C. Montonen. (Lecture Notes in Phys., v.151), N.Y., Springer, 1982, pp.61–119